# REPORT OF THE AUDIT MANAGER TO THE AUDIT & GOVERNANCE COMMITTEE 30 JUNE 2008

# **Internal Audit Annual Report 2007/2008**

# 1.0 <u>Introduction and Report Summary</u>

- 1.1 The purpose of this report is to report on the work of Internal Audit in the year ended 31<sup>st</sup> March 2008, and to advise the Committee of the Audit Manager's opinion on the overall adequacy and effectiveness of the internal control environment.
- 1.2 The Contact Officer for this report is Adrianna Penn, Audit Manager for South Oxfordshire District Council and Vale of White Horse District Council, telephone (SODC) 01491 823544 and (VWHDC) 01235 547615.

# 2.0 Recommendations

that Members note the content of the report.

# 3.0 Relationship with the Council's Vision, Strategies and Policies

- (a) Vision strand E.
- (b) No specific strategy; although audit work underpins all Strategies indirectly.
- (c) Anti Fraud & Corruption Policy; and all Policies indirectly.

# 4.0 Background

- 4.1 Standard 10 of the CIPFA Code of Practice for Internal Audit in Local Government in the UK 2006 (CIPFA Code) states that the Head of Internal Audit (Audit Manager) must produce an annual report that:
  - includes his or her opinion on the overall adequacy and effectiveness of the organisation's control environment;
  - discloses any qualifications to that opinion, together with the reason(s) for the qualification;
  - presents a summary of the audit work from which the opinion is derived, including reliance placed on work by other assurance bodies;
  - draws attention to any issues the Head of Internal Audit judges particularly relevant to the preparation of the Statement of Internal Control (now Annual Governance Statement);
  - compares the work actually undertaken to the work that was planned and summarises the performance of the internal audit function against its performance measures and targets; and
  - comments on compliance with the CIPFA standards and communicates the result of the internal audit quality assurance programme.
- 4.2 The control environment comprises the systems of governance, risk management and internal control. The key elements of the control environment include:
  - establishing and monitoring the achievement of the organisation's objectives;

- ensuring compliance with established policies, procedures, laws and regulations
- ensuring risk management is embedded in the activity of the organisation, that leadership is given to the risk management process, and staff are trained or equipped to manage risk in a way appropriate to their authority and duties;
- ensuring the economical, effective and efficient use of resources, and for securing continuous improvement in the way in which its functions are exercised, having regard to a combination of economy, efficiency and effectiveness;
- the financial management of the organisation and the reporting of financial management; and
- the performance management of the organisation and the reporting of performance management.

## 5.0 Overall Opinion

- 5.1 The Audit Manager is satisfied that sufficient internal audit work has been undertaken to allow a reasonable conclusion to be drawn as to the adequacy and effectiveness of the Vale of White Horse District Council's risk management, control and governance processes. The Audit Manager's opinion is based on the risk-based audits carried out during the year and other unplanned work on control systems. No reliance has been placed on the work of other assurance bodies.
- 5.2 It is the Audit Manager's unqualified opinion that satisfactory assurance can be placed on the Council's risk management, control and governance processes. There is basically a sound system of internal control but there are some weaknesses which may put some system objectives at risk. Areas of concern in the control environment mainly centre on key financial systems, where Internal Audit identified significant weaknesses which require remedial action. Notwithstanding the Audit Manager's overall opinion, Internal Audit identified a number of opportunities for improving controls and procedures across the Council which officers generally responded to positively.
- 5.3 A summary of all Internal Audit opinions is attached as **Appendix 1**.

# 6.0 Summary of Audit Work

- 6.1 For 2007/2008, Internal Audit completed 608 audit work days. 299.25 days for South Oxfordshire District Council and 308.75 days for the Vale of White Horse District Council. This includes planned audit work, consultancy work and contingency work (i.e. investigations).
- 6.2 A total of 55 planned audits were completed within 2007/2008, and a further five reports are pending finalisation. From those, 31 relate to the Vale of White Horse District Council and one out of the five pending relate to the Council. From the 31 completed audits, five (16%) achieved a full assurance rating. In most cases (18 audits / 58%) a satisfactory assurance rating was achieved. Limited assurances were appropriate for eight (26%) audits and no reviews resulted in nil assurance being given. In total 213 recommendations to improve controls and procedures within the Council were made. 22 (10%) were classified as high, 131 (62%) as medium and 60 (28%) as low. Only 15 (7%) recommendations were not agreed by management.
- 6.3 No investigations were completed during 2007/2008 for the Council, however Internal Audit was asked to assist in a review of how the Council responded to the July 2007 floods. This work amounted to 12.5 days.

6.4 Six formal follow-up reviews should have been undertaken before the year end 31<sup>st</sup> March 2008 in accordance with Internal Audit's performance target. Due to prioritising the completion of key financial audits, none were completed within the target timeframe. Follow up on the remainder of 2007/2008 audit work is included in the 2008/2009 annual audit plan.

# 7.0 <u>Issues Relevant to the Annual Governance Statement</u>

- 7.1 Specific audit reviews on risk management, corporate governance and the fraud and corruption policy and response plan were not included within the 2007/2008 annual audit plan. However, the Audit Manager considers that significant improvements need to be made in the Council's risk management approach and the fraud and corruption policy and response plan needs to be revised and updated. Specific reviews in all three areas have been included within the 2008/2009 annual internal audit plan.
- 7.2 During 2007/2008 a number of system performance issues were identified with the conversion to the Agresso 5.5 financial management system. An action plan was implemented during the year which was closely monitored by officers, but the issues resulted in control weaknesses being identified in the majority of the key financial system audits. The majority of the recommendations made were accepted by management, and these recommendations will be subject to follow up in 2008/2009.

# 8.0 Internal Audit's Performance

8.1 The performance of Internal Audit is measured against a number of indicators. The out-turn for 2007/2008 is as follows:

	Target	Year Ending 31/03/08
Chargeable	63%	52%
Non-Chargeable	20%	25.5%
Lost	17%	22.5%

Performance Targets	Year Ending 31/03/08
PT1 To issue 90% of audit notifications at least 10 working days before start of audit fieldwork	90%
PT2 To issue 90% of draft audit reports within 10 working days of completion of audit fieldwork.	74%
PT3 To issue 90% of final audit reports within 10 working days of receipt of the auditees final reposes to draft report and recommendations.	90%
<b>PT4</b> To issue Post Audit Questionnaire within 1 month of issue of final report.	100%
PT5 To follow up 80% of final reports within 6 months of completion of audit.	0%
PT6 To complete the audit fieldwork and issue draft reports on 87% of all fundamental system audits within the audit plan.	87.5%
<b>PT7</b> To complete the audit fieldwork and issue draft reports on 75% of all non-fundamental system audits within the audit plan.	70%

8.2 Less than half of the performance targets set for 2007/2008 were achieved. However, it is the Audit Manager's opinion that the section performed well given the lack of an Audit Manager for 17 weeks, two Auditor vacancies amounting to 38 weeks and

- significant staff absence in quarter 3. Time was also taken ensuring the successful harmonisation of the two teams and working practices.
- 8.3 During the year Internal Audit harmonised its working practices and consulted with senior managers on the performance and value of the internal audit service. Their comments were considered in the adoption of the new working approach and areas identified by officers for review were included in the 2008/2009 internal audit plan where possible. Frequent meetings were also established in the year with the Audit Commission, to ensure that moving forward greater reliance can be placed on internal audit's work.
- 8.4 In January 2008, the Internal Audit Charter was approved by the Audit and Corporate Governance Committee setting out the definition and purpose of the internal audit function, where the internal audit function derives its authority from, its rights of access and the responsibilities of the function.

# 9.0 Compliance with CIPFA Code

- 9.1 Based on the improvements to working practices that have been made following the harmonisation of the section and the quality assurance programme introduced from August 2007, it is the Audit Manager's opinion that internal audit work has complied with the CIPFA standards. However, it is acknowledged that there are areas for improvement, and a self-assessment of the section against CIPFA requirements will be carried out by the end of July 2008. This will be verified by the Section 151 Officers at both Councils, and an improvement action plan will be documented.
- 9.2 As part of the quality assurance programme and to assist in monitoring and improving the quality and value of service provided, auditees are requested to complete an Audit Feedback Questionnaire on Internal Audit's performance. Response rates do need to improve, but on the responses received in 2007/2008 the general perceptions of the service provided is good. A summary of all the responses received and general comments is attached as **Appendix 2**.
- 9.3 All feedback received by the Audit Manager is discussed with the Senior Auditor and relevant Auditor. Where appropriate, the Audit Manager meets the officer to discuss their comments in more details.

ADRIANNA PENN AUDIT MANAGER

# **APPENDIX 1**

System Name	Status	Audit Allocation	Total Days Used	Exception Issues	Audit Opinion	No. of Recs	High	No. Agreed	Medium	No. Agreed	Low	No. Agreed	Total Not Agreed
JOINT													
Capital Accounting SODC VWHDC	Completed	20	18.5		Satisfactory Satisfactory	6	0	N/A N/A	3	3 N/A	3	3	0
Concessionary Fares (VWHDC)	Completed	12	9	SODC request for postponement agreed by SODC ACGC Chairman.	Satisfactory	6	0	N/A	2	2	4	4	0
Council Tax SODC VWHDC	Completed	20	20		Satisfactory Satisfactory	8 10	0	N/A N/A	6	5 5	2 4	2 4	1
Creditors Payments SODC VWHDC	Completed	20	22		Satisfactory Limited	11 18	0 3	N/A 2	6 7	6 7	5 8	5 8	0
Data Protection SODC VWHDC	Completed	12	12		Limited Limited	6	0 3	N/A 3	5 3	5 3	1 0	1 N/A	0
Elections SODC VWHDC	Completed	20	24.5	New IA staff member.	Limited Satisfactory	14 6	3 0	3 N/A	6 4	6 4	5 2	5 2	0
Finance PIR SODC VWHDC	Draft out Completed	12	8.5		Pending Full	- 0	- N/A	- N/A	- N/A	- N/A	- N/A	- N/A	- N/A
Housing & Council Tax Benefits SODC VWHDC	Completed	20	19		Limited Satisfactory	6	1 0	1 N/A	4 5	4 5	1	1	0
ICT SODC VWHDC	Completed	18	10		Satisfactory Satisfactory	6 11	0	N/A N/A	0 4	N/A 3	6 7	6	0 2
NNDR SODC VWHDC	Completed	20	19.5		Limited Limited	9 7	0	N/A N/A	6 6	2 2	3	2	5 4
Payroll SODC VWHDC	Completed	20	19		Satisfactory Limited	10 10	0 3	0 3	5 5	4 4	5 2	4 2	2
Proactive Anti-Fraud SODC	Draft out	20	13	Strategic Director requested extension to deadline.	Pending	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
VWHDC	Not Finalised			Strategic Director with responsibility left prior to commencement of audit. No lead officer, Deputy Director (Org Change and Dev requested more detailed audit work be undertaken before report is	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

				finalised.									
Revenues – PIR SODC	In progress	12	8.5		Pending	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
VWHDC					Pending	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sundry Debtors	Completed	20	20										
SODC VWHDC					Limited Limited	8 5	4	4 2	0	1 N/A	3	3	0
Treasury Management	Completed	18	20				_	_	0	14//			
SODC VWHDC	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				Satisfactory Full	7	0	N/A N/A	1	1 N/A	6 0	5 N/A	1 N/A
JOINT TOTALS	_	264	243.5	24	ruii	-	U	IN/A	U	IN/A	U	IN/A	IN/A
SODC			2 1010	Full	0	91	8	8	43	37	40	37	9
3020				Satisfactory	6								
				Limited	5								
				Nil	0								
				Full	2	86	11	10	42	25	33	32	9
VWHDC				Satisfactory	6								
				Limited	5								
				Nil	0								
SODC													
Bank Contract and Arrangements	Completed	10	4	Only half of the scope reviewed due to officer absence.	Satisfactory	1	1	1	0	N/A	0	N/A	0
Bank Reconciliation	Completed	10	13.5	New IA staff member	Limited	10	3	3	2	2	5	5	0
Budgetary Control	Completed	10	9		Satisfactory	10	0	N/A	6	6	4	4	0
Building Control (inclu. Dangerous Structures)	Completed	10	9		Satisfactory	3	0	N/A	2	2	1	1	0
Business Continuity Planning	Completed	10	10.5		Satisfactory	9	0	N/A	6	6	3	3	0
Cleansing Service	Completed	10	6.5		Satisfactory	1	0	N/A	1	1	0	N/A	0
Didcot Wave & Leisure Centre Contract	Completed	10	12.5	Discussion on recommendations	Satisfactory	5	0	N/A	2	2	3	3	0
Dog Control	Completed	10	4		Limited	9	2	2	6	6	1	1	0
Housing Development	Draft out	10	14	Time spent chasing requested evidence	Pending	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Land Charges	Completed	10	9.5		Satisfactory	8	2	2	4	4	2	2	0
Officers Travelling & Subsistence Expenses	Completed	10	8.5		Satisfactory	9	1	1	5	5	3	3	0
Planning Control	Completed	10	8.5		Good (Full)	3	0	0	0	0	3	3	0
Waste Management	Completed	10	6.5		Full	1	0	N/A	0	N/A	1	1	0
Housing Allocations	Completed	10	11.5		Satisfactory	7	0	N/A	4	3	3	2	2
SODC TOTALS	-	140	127.5	13 Full	2	76	9	9	38	37	29	28	2
				Satisfactory	9								

				Limited	2								
				Nil	0								
VWHDC													
Abingdon LSP	Completed	10	13.5	New IA staff member	Satisfactory	11	0	N/A	9	9	2	2	0
Affordable Housing	Completed	7	9.5		Satisfactory	5	0	N/A	3	2	2	1	2
Benefit Counter Fraud	Completed	7	7		Satisfactory	4	0	0	2	2	2	2	0
Cash	Completed	8	18	Scope of audit too extensive	Satisfactory	23	0	0	22	20	1	1	2
Discretionary Grants	Completed	7	6		Full	0	N/A						
Environmental Protection	Completed	7	7		Good (Full)	4	0	N/A	1	1	3	3	0
Excess Charges	Completed	5	5		Good (Full)	2	1	1	1	1	0	N/A	0
Health & Safety (Council)	Completed	8	8		Satisfactory	17	0	N/A	15	15	2	2	0
Homelessness & Temporary Accommodation	Completed	6	9.5	Investigation of specific issues	Satisfactory	1	1	1	0	N/A	0	N/A	0
Oxfordshire Waste Partnership	Completed	10	12	Time spent discussing scope with OWP Co-Ordinator	Satisfactory	3	0	N/A	1	1	2	2	0
Insurance	Completed	7	7		Satisfactory	3	1	1	2	2	0	N/A	0
ISO Audits	Completed	8	5	Corporate Role	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Land & Property	Completed	8	9.5	Discussion on recommendations	Limited	5	1	1	4	4	0	0	0
Main Accounting	Completed	10	11.5	Difficulties assessing Agresso information	Satisfactory	5	0	N/A	0	N/A	5	5	0
Recycling	Completed	8	8		Satisfactory	12	0	N/A	10	10	2	2	0
Refuse & Street Cleansing	Completed	8	8		Satisfactory	8	0	N/A	5	5	3	3	0
Rent Accounting	Completed	6	14	Investigation of specific issues	Unsatisfactory (Limited)	14	7	7	7	7	0	N/A	0
SOLL Leisure	Completed	10	10		Satisfactory	6	0	N/A	4	4	2	2	0
White Horse LC Contract	Completed	6	6		Limited	4	0	N/A	3	2	1	0	2
VWHDC TOTALS	-	146	174.5	18 Full Satisfactory Limited Nil	3 12 3 0	127	11	11	89	85	27	25	6
PLANNED RSSP TOTALS	-	550	545.5	55 Full Satisfactory Limited Nil	7 33 15 0	380	39	38	212	194	129	122	26
PLANNED SODC TOTALS		272	249.25	24 Full Satisfactory Limited	2 15 7 0	167	17	17	81	74	69	65	11

			Nil									
PLANNED VWHDC TOTALS	278	296.25	31	5	213	22	21	131	120	60	57	15
			Full	18								
			Satisfactory	8								
			Limited	0								
			Nil									

# **UNPLANNED WORK 2007/2008**

## CONSULTANCY

System Name	Status	Audit Allocation	Total Days Used	Requested By
JOINT	<u> </u>			
Anti Fraud and Corruption	Outstanding Q208/09	20 Days	-	Audit Manager
Corporate Governance	Outstanding Q308/09	20 Days	-	Audit Manager
Risk Management				Audit Manager
SODC	Completed	10 Days	4 Days	
	Limited 7H 5M 2L			
	In Progress	10 Days		
VWHDC				
SODC				
Tender Review	Completed	10 Days	11 days	Head of Legal and Democratic
	Limited 2H 9M 1L			Services / Head of Leisure
VWHDC	·	_		
None	-	-	-	-
CONSULTANCY SODC TOTALS			15	
CONSULTANCY VWHDC TOTALS			0	

#### CONTINGENCY

System Name	Status	Audit Allocation	Total Days Used	Requested By
Joint	·	·		
None	-	-	-	-
SODC				
Investigation 1	Completed	N/A	15.5 Days	Chief Executive
Investigation 2	Completed	N/A	17.5 Days	Head of Planning
Investigation 3	Completed	N/A	0.5 Day	Head of Environmental Services
Investigation 4	Completed	N/A	1.5 Days	Head of Environmental Services

VWHDC				
Flood Review	Completed	N/A	12.5 Days	Strategic Director
CONTINGENCY SODC TOTALS			35	
CONTINGENCY VWHDC TOTALS			12.5	

SODC TOTAL AUDIT WORK: 299.25 days VWHDC TOTAL AUDIT WORK: 308.75 days

## INTERNAL AUDIT FEEDBACK

#### **APPENDIX 2**

14 Audit Feedback questionnaires were returned for work completed in 2007/2008. Responses and general comments were received from:

#### SODC

**Building Control** 

#### **VWHDC**

Accountancy, Corporate Management Team, Elections, Environmental Protection, Health and Safety, Housing, Leisure, LSP, Waste Management and Revenues and Benefits.

#### **AUDIT PLANNING AND SCOPE OF WORK**

	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Poor	Very Poor
Consultation on the scope and coverage of the audit	2	7	4	1	0
2. Minimal disruption to daily activities	4	5	5	0	0

#### COMMUNICATION

	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Poor	Very Poor
Consultation and communication during the audit	5	3	4	2	0
Communication of audit findings and recommendations	4	5	5	0	0

#### **QUALITY OF AUDIT REPORT**

	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Poor	Very Poor
5. Clarity and conciseness of the audit report	2	6	6	0	0
6. Fulfilment of the audit scope and objectives	3	5	6	0	0
7. Accuracy, validity and significance of the audit findings	2	5	5	2	0
8. Audit recommendations – constructive, practical and logical	2	8	4	0	0

#### PROFESSIONAL PROFICIENCY

	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Poor	Very Poor
Professionalism of the auditor(s)	5	4	5	0	0
10. Advice given by the auditor(s)	4	4	6	0	0

#### **GENERAL**

	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Poor	Very Poor
11. Usefulness of audit in identifying risks and improving controls	2	4	6	1	1
12. Overall evaluation of the quality of the	2	7	4	1	0
audit service provided					

#### **TOTALS**

Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Poor	Very Poor
37	63	60	7	1

#### **OTHER COMMENTS RECEIVED**

- Thank you for a good piece of work delivered on time.
- This was a difficult audit which covered a very wide remit. It would have been better and more effective to cover a limited part of the contract. The assistance in gaining a monitoring post was helpful.
- It was felt that the need operationally was insufficient to warrant the use of audit resources and the benefits are
  minimal. Whilst appreciating that the system used to prioritise risk identifies this contract as high it perhaps
  highlights a need to review the risk criteria.
- This was a split audit. The initial process was demotivating, but the Audit Manager's professionalism and direct approach has pulled this situation round.
- Initial meetings with the internal auditor were somewhat muddled and I was concerned that the right information
  was being requested to assist with relevant points. I provided a considerable amount of information but received
  no feedback at all on its usefulness or otherwise until reading the resulting report.