


## CGR\_E – South Hinksey / Kennington

Any personal information supplied to us within the comments that could identify anyone has been redacted and will not be shared or published in the report. Further information on data protection is available in our general consultation's privacy statement on our [Vale](#) website.

### 1. How far do you agree or disagree with this proposal?

Answer Choice		Response Percent	Response Total
1	Strongly agree	5.9%	1
2	Agree	5.9%	1
3	Neither agree nor disagree	0.0%	0
4	Disagree	23.5%	4
5	Strongly disagree	64.7%	11
6	Not sure	0.0%	0
7	I don't have a view	0.0%	0
		<b>answered</b>	<b>17</b>
		<b>skipped</b>	<b>148</b>

### How far do you agree or disagree with this proposal?



### 2. If you have any comments on this proposal please provide them below:

Answer Choices	Response Percent	Response Total
----------------	------------------	----------------

## 2. If you have any comments on this proposal please provide them below:


1	Open-Ended Question	100.00%	10
1		Kennington needs to be expanded to include Radley to Sugworth Lane and Redrow's development	
2		<p>Bagley Wood should remain in Kennington in its entirety. These proposals involve a land grab of Bagley Wood and would involve splitting it across parish boundaries. I can see South Hinksey being more receptive to development proposals of the tract of the wood they took; than Kennington.</p> <p>I have no feelings about the hotel plot itself.</p>	
3		Wish to keep Bagley Wood as a whole within the Parish of Kennington	
4		The hotel being part of the parish with other houses nearby makes sense but the new lines cutting through the woods to the A34 doesn't . Better for the woods to remain whole and within one parish	
5		While it makes some sense to have the housing in the parish it's closest to (South Hinksey), splitting Bagley Wood between parishes does not seem like a good idea. The wood is ancient and is a celebrated part of Kennington parish. Dividing it between parishes could have implications for its preservation, particularly on the border, where there could be misunderstandings or disputes about responsibility. Please keep Bagley Wood united within one parish.	
6		Makes sense to me.	
7		Splitting Bagley Wood between South Hinksey and Kennington neighbourhood councils is of concern. The wood should be kept within one neighbourhood area. Splitting it risks degradation and hiving off parts for development. I can see that the aim of South Hinksey neighbours feeling a link with their neighbours when the hotel has been developed and the bus stop paths but the current arrangements cater for that. I walk Bagley Woods regularly and consider the housing within in "Kennington" as do the residents I have spoken to there.	
8		The wood should remain a single parish	
9		<p>Bagley Wood should remain within one parish. Once divided it will become more at risk of degradation.</p> <p>Also the boundaries should follow clear and obvious lines such as the main roads and not some tiny ditch. However it is hard to see the details on the thumbnail map and when I click on it to see an enlarged version I get taken to the Kingston Bagpuize map instead.</p>	
10		<p>Kennington Parish Council objects to this proposed boundary change in the strongest terms. Kennington parish was created in 1936 from South Hinksey and Radley parishes, which was led by the resident of the house that later became the Westwood Country Hotel. Bagley Wood was entirely in the newly formed parish of Kennington and should remain so. There is no justification for adjusting the boundary.</p> <p>Submitted at end of survey under 'Any other comments'</p> <p>Expand Kennington to include Redrow</p> <p>Submitted at end of survey under 'Any other comments'</p> <p>I haven't seen it, so no, not at the moment, thank you.</p> <p>Submitted at end of survey under 'Any other comments'</p> <p>Just build AFFORDABLE houses!</p>	
		answered	10
		skipped	155

## 3. Are you responding to this request as: (tick all that apply)

Answer Choice		Response Percent	Response Total
1	a resident within the parish	82.4%	14
2	someone who works within the parish	0.0%	0
3	a business / organisation operating within the parish	0.0%	0
4	a visitor or interested party	0.0%	0
5	a councillor (parish, district, county)	5.9%	1
6	an officer (parish, district, county)	5.9%	1
7	Other (please specify):	5.9%	1
		<b>answered</b>	<b>17</b>
		<b>skipped</b>	<b>148</b>

Other (please specify): (1)		
1		A resident and someone who works and runs a business within the parish (the system will not allow the choice of more than one)

### Are you responding to this request as: (tick all that apply)



#### 4. If you are responding as a business / organisation, council or body please provide its name:

Answer Choices		Response Percent	Response Total
1	Open-Ended Question	100.00%	1
1			Kennington Parish Council

4. If you are responding as a business / organisation, council or body please provide its name:

answered	1
skipped	164

5. To help us analyse responses, please provide the first part of your postcode (e.g. OX12 1)

Answer Choices	Response Percent	Response Total
1 Open-Ended Question	100.00%	17
	answered	17
	skipped	148

6. You can upload any supporting documents using the button below.

File Type	Average Size	Files Uploaded
To view the files uploaded, go into the individual results.	answered	0
	skipped	165